Midpoint Review Report Grading Criteria

Motivation & Problem Definition	
	Does this report sufficiently describe the project's motivation?
	Does this report describe when and how this research can be used by whom in terms of examples and scenarios?
	Does the report clearly define a research problem?
Related Work	
	Does the report adequately describe related work?
	Does the report cite and use appropriate references?
Approach	
	Does the report clearly & adequately present your research approach (algorithm description, pseudo code, etc.)?
	Does the report include justifications for your approach?
Evaluation	
	Does this report clarify your evaluation's objectives (research questions raised by you)?
	Does this report justify why it is worthwhile to answer such research questions?
	Does this report concretely describe what can be measured and compared to answer such research questions?
	Is the evaluation study design (experiments, case studies, and user studies) sound?
Results	
	Does the report discuss any preliminary empirical results?
	Does the report provide any interpretation on preliminary results?
	Is the information in the report sound, factual, and accurate?
Discussions & Future Work	
	Does the report suggest future research directions or make suggestions to improve or augment the evaluated tool?
	Did the report demonstrate consideration of alternative evaluation methods?
	Does the report discuss threats to validity of this evaluation?
Planning	
	Does the report discuss what they accomplished?
	Does the report sketch concrete milestones and action items?
	Does the report sketch technical challenges that need to be addressed?
	Is the treatment of the subject a reasonable scope as a class project?
Clarity and Writing	
	How well are the ideas presented? (very difficult to understand =1, very easy to understand =5)
	Overall quality of writing and readability (very poor =1, excellent =5)