Chapter 2: Entity-Relationship Model

- What’s the use of the E-R model?
- Entity Sets
- Relationship Sets
- Design Issues
- Mapping Constraints
- Keys
- E-R Diagram
- Extended E-R Features
- Design of an E-R Database Schema
- Reduction of an E-R Schema to Tables

E-R Diagrams

- Rectangles represent entity sets.
- Diamonds represent relationship sets.
- Lines link attributes to entity sets and entity sets to relationship sets.
- Ellipses represent attributes
  - Double ellipses represent multivalued attributes.
  - Dashed ellipses denote derived attributes.
- Underline indicates primary key attributes (will study later)
Attributes

- An entity is represented by a set of attributes, that is descriptive properties possessed by all members of an entity set.
  - Example:
    
    - customer = (customer-id, customer-name, customer-street, customer-city)
    - loan = (loan-number, amount)

- Domain – the set of permitted values for each attribute

- Attribute types:
  - Simple and composite attributes.
  - Single-valued and multi-valued attributes
    - E.g. multivalued attribute: phone-numbers
  - Derived attributes
    - Can be computed from other attributes
    - E.g. age, given date of birth

Entity Sets

- A database can be modeled as:
  - A collection of entities,
  - Relationship among entities.

- An entity is an object that exists and is distinguishable from other objects.
  - Example: specific person, company, event, plant

- Entities have attributes
  - Example: people have names and addresses

- An entity set is a set of entities of the same type that share the same properties.
  - Example: set of all persons, companies, trees, holidays
Relationship Sets with Attributes

E-R Diagram with Composite, Multivalued, and Derived Attributes—try to avoid them
Composite Attributes

Roles

- Entity sets of a relationship need not be distinct
- The labels “manager” and “worker” are called roles; they specify how employee entities interact via the works-for relationship set.
- Roles are indicated in E-R diagrams by labeling the lines that connect diamonds to rectangles.
- Role labels are optional, and are used to clarify semantics of the relationship.
Mapping Cardinalities

- Express the number of entities to which another entity can be associated via a relationship set.
- Most useful in describing binary relationship sets.
- For a binary relationship set the mapping cardinality must be one of the following types:
  - One to one
  - One to many
  - Many to one
  - Many to many

Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set.
## Mapping Cardinalities

![Diagram showing mapping cardinalities](image)

- **Many to one**
- **Many to many**

Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set.

## One-To-Many Relationship

- In the one-to-many relationship a loan is associated with at most one customer via borrower, a customer is associated with several (including 0) loans via borrower.
Many-To-One Relationships

- Example of many-to-one relationships: a loan is associated with several (including 0) customers via borrower, a customer is associated with at most one loan via borrower.

Cardinality Constraints

- We express cardinality constraints by drawing either a directed line (→), signifying "one," or an undirected line (—), signifying "many," between the relationship set and the entity set.
- Example of One-to-one relationship:
  - A customer is associated with at most one loan via the relationship borrower.
  - A loan is associated with at most one customer via borrower.
Many-To-Many Relationship

- Example of Many to Many Relationships:
  - A customer is associated with several (possibly 0) loans via borrower
  - A loan is associated with several (possibly 0) customers via borrower

Alternative Notation for Cardinality Limits

- Cardinality limits can also express participation constraints
Keys

- A super key of an entity set is a set of one or more attributes whose values uniquely determine each entity.
- A candidate key of an entity set is a minimal super key
  - Customer-id is candidate key of customer
  - account-number is candidate key of account
- Although several candidate keys may exist, one of the candidate keys is selected to be the primary key.

Degree of a Relationship Set

- Refers to number of entity sets that participate in a relationship set.
- Relationship sets that involve two entity sets are binary (or degree two). Generally, most relationship sets in a database system are binary.
- Relationship sets may involve more than two entity sets.
  - E.g. Suppose employees of a bank may have jobs (responsibilities) at multiple branches, with different jobs at different branches. Then there is a ternary relationship set between entity sets employee, job and branch
- Relationships between more than two entity sets are not as common as binary ones.
Cardinality Constraints on Ternary Relationship

- We allow at most one arrow out of a ternary (or greater degree) relationship to indicate a cardinality constraint.
- E.g. an arrow from `works-on` to `job` indicates each employee works on at most one job at any branch.
- If there is more than one arrow, there are two ways of defining the meaning.
  - E.g. a ternary relationship \( R \) between \( A, B \) and \( C \) with arrows to \( B \) and \( C \) could mean:
    - 1. each \( A \) entity is associated with a unique entity from \( B \) and \( C \) or
    - 2. each pair of entities from \((A, B)\) is associated with a unique \( C \) entity, and each pair \((A, C)\) is associated with a unique \( B \).
- Each alternative has been used in different formalisms.
- To avoid confusion we outlaw more than one arrow.
Binary Vs. Non-Binary Relationships

- Some relationships that appear to be non-binary may be better represented using binary relationships
  - E.g. A ternary relationship parents, relating a child to his/her father and mother, is best replaced by two binary relationships, father and mother
  - Using two binary relationships allows partial information (e.g. only mother being known)
- But there are some relationships that are naturally non-binary
  - E.g. works-on

Weak Entity Sets

- An entity set that does not have a primary key is referred to as a weak entity set.
- The existence of a weak entity set depends on the existence of a identifying entity set
  - it must relate to the identifying entity set via a total, one-to-many relationship set from the identifying to the weak entity set
  - Identifying relationship depicted using a double diamond
- The discriminator (or partial key) of a weak entity set is the set of attributes that distinguishes among all the entities of a weak entity set.
- The primary key of a weak entity set is formed by the primary key of the strong entity set on which the weak entity set is existence dependent, plus the weak entity set's discriminator.
Weak Entity Sets (Cont.)

- We depict a weak entity set by double rectangles.
- We underline the discriminator of a weak entity set with a dashed line.
- *payment-number* – discriminator of the *payment* entity set
- Primary key for *payment* – *(loan-number, payment-number)*

Note: the primary key of the strong entity set is not explicitly stored with the weak entity set, since it is implicit in the identifying relationship.

If *loan-number* were explicitly stored, *payment* could be made a strong entity, but then the relationship between *payment* and *loan* would be duplicated by an implicit relationship defined by the attribute *loan-number* common to *payment* and *loan*.
More Weak Entity Set Examples

- In a university, a course is a strong entity and a course-offering can be modeled as a weak entity.
- The discriminator of course-offering would be semester (including year) and section-number (if there is more than one section).
- If we model course-offering as a strong entity we would model course-number as an attribute.
  Then the relationship with course would be implicit in the course-number attribute.

Specialization

- Top-down design process; we designate subgroupings within an entity set that are distinctive from other entities in the set.
- These subgroupings become lower-level entity sets that have attributes or participate in relationships that do not apply to the higher-level entity set.
- Depicted by a triangle component labeled ISA (E.g. customer "is a" person).
- Attribute inheritance – a lower-level entity set inherits all the attributes and relationship participation of the higher-level entity set to which it is linked.
Specialization Example

Generalization

- A bottom-up design process – combine a number of entity sets that share the same features into a higher-level entity set.
- Specialization and generalization are simple inversions of each other; they are represented in an E-R diagram in the same way.
- The terms specialization and generalization are used interchangeably.
Specialization and Generalization (Contd.)

- Can have multiple specializations of an entity set based on different features.
- E.g. permanent-employee vs. temporary-employee, in addition to officer vs. secretary vs. teller
- Each particular employee would be
  - a member of one of permanent-employee or temporary-employee,
  - and also a member of one of officer, secretary, or teller
- The ISA relationship also referred to as **superclass - subclass** relationship

Design Constraints on a Specialization/Generalization

- Constraint on which entities can be members of a given lower-level entity set.
  - condition-defined
    - E.g. all customers over 65 years are members of *senior-citizen* entity set; *senior-citizen* ISA *person*.
  - user-defined
- Constraint on whether or not entities may belong to more than one lower-level entity set within a single generalization.
  - Disjoint
    - an entity can belong to only one lower-level entity set
    - Noted in E-R diagram by writing *disjoint* next to the ISA triangle
  - Overlapping
    - an entity can belong to more than one lower-level entity set
Design Constraints on a Specialization/Generalization (Contd.)

- Completeness constraint -- specifies whether or not an entity in the higher-level entity set must belong to at least one of the lower-level entity sets within a generalization.
  - total: an entity must belong to one of the lower-level entity sets
  - partial: an entity need not belong to one of the lower-level entity sets

Aggregation

- Consider the ternary relationship works-on, which we saw earlier
- Suppose we want to record managers for tasks performed by an employee at a branch

Aggregation (Cont.)

- Relationship sets `works-on` and `manages` represent overlapping information
  - Every `manages` relationship corresponds to a `works-on` relationship
  - However, some `works-on` relationships may not correspond to any `manages` relationships
    - So we can’t discard the `works-on` relationship

- Eliminate this redundancy via *aggregation*
  - Treat relationship as an abstract entity
  - Allows relationships between relationships
  - Abstraction of relationship into new entity

- Without introducing redundancy, the following diagram represents:
  - An employee works on a particular job at a particular branch
  - An employee, branch, job combination may have an associated manager
E-R Design Decisions

- The use of an attribute or entity set to represent an object.
- Whether a real-world concept is best expressed by an entity set or a relationship set.
- The use of a ternary relationship versus a pair of binary relationships.
- The use of a strong or weak entity set.
- The use of specialization/generalization – contributes to modularity in the design.
- The use of aggregation – can treat the aggregate entity set as a single unit without concern for the details of its internal structure.
Summary of Symbols Used in E-R Notation

- **E**: Entity Set
- **A**: Attribute
- **E**: Weak Entity Set
- **A**: Multivalued Attribute
- **R**: Relationship Set
- **A**: Derived Attribute
- **R**: Identifying Relationship
- **R**: Total Participation of Entity Set in Relationship
- **E**: Set for Weak Entity Set
- **A**: Discriminating Attribute of Weak Entity Set
- **Δ**: Primary Key

Summary of Symbols (Cont.)

- **R**: Many to Many Relationship
- **R**: Many to One Relationship
- **R**: One to One Relationship
- **L_h**: Cardinality Limits
- **E**: Role Indicator
- **ISA**: ISA (Specialization or Generalization)
- **ISA**: Disjoint Generalization

Database System Concepts 2.37

©Silberschatz, Korth and Sudarshan
Reduction of an E-R Schema to Tables

1. A database which conforms to an E-R diagram can be represented by a collection of tables
2. For each (strong) entity set there is a table having as candidate key the key of the entity set
3. For relationship set there is a table having as columns the keys of the participating entities. The candidate key for the table is determined by the cardinality constraints among participating entities.
4. A weak entity set becomes a table that includes a column for the primary key of the identifying strong entity set
5. Inheritance to be discussed later …
Many-To-One Relationships

- Example of many-to-one relationships: a loan is associated with several (including 0) customers via borrower, a customer is associated with at most one loan via borrower

![Diagram of Many-To-One Relationships]

Representing Entity Sets as Tables

- A strong entity set reduces to a table with the same attributes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>customer-id</th>
<th>customer-name</th>
<th>customer-street</th>
<th>customer-city</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>019-28-3746</td>
<td>Smith</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Rye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182-73-6091</td>
<td>Turner</td>
<td>Putnam</td>
<td>Stamford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192-83-7465</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Alma</td>
<td>Palo Alto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244-66-8800</td>
<td>Curry</td>
<td>North</td>
<td>Rye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321-12-3123</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335-57-7991</td>
<td>Adams</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Pittsfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336-66-9999</td>
<td>Lindsay</td>
<td>Park</td>
<td>Pittsfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677-89-9011</td>
<td>Hayes</td>
<td>Main</td>
<td>Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-96-3963</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>Nassau</td>
<td>Princeton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Representing Relationship Sets as Tables

- A many-to-many relationship set is represented as a table with columns for the primary keys of the two participating entity sets, and any descriptive attributes of the relationship set.
- E.g.: table for relationship set borrower

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>customer-id</th>
<th>loan-number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>019-28-3746</td>
<td>L-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>019-28-3746</td>
<td>L-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244-66-8800</td>
<td>L-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321-12-3123</td>
<td>L-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335-57-7991</td>
<td>L-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555-55-5555</td>
<td>L-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677-89-9011</td>
<td>L-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>963-96-3963</td>
<td>L-17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Redundancy of Tables

- Table with equivalent keys can be merged together---as in the 3NF design algorithm
- E.g.: Merge the tables account-branch with account
Redundancy of Tables (Cont.)

- For one-to-one relationship sets, either side can be chosen to act as the "many" side
  - That is, extra attribute can be added to either of the tables corresponding to the two entity sets
- If participation is partial on the many side null values might be needed

Composite and Multivalued Attributes

- Previous rules hold for simple attributes
- Composite attributes are flattened out by creating a separate attribute for each component attribute
  - E.g. given entity set customer with composite attribute name with component attributes first-name and last-name the table corresponding to the entity set has two attributes name.first-name and name.last-name
A weak entity set becomes a table that includes a column for the primary key of the identifying strong entity set:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>loan-number</th>
<th>payment-number</th>
<th>payment-date</th>
<th>payment-amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>7 June 2001</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-14</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>28 May 2001</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23 May 2001</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-16</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18 June 2001</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10 May 2001</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7 June 2001</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17 June 2001</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17 May 2001</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-93</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>3 June 2001</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-93</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>13 June 2001</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method 1:
- Form a table for the higher level entity
- Form a table for each lower level entity set, include primary key of higher level entity set and local attributes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>table</th>
<th>table attributes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>person</td>
<td>name, street, city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>customer</td>
<td>name, credit-rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employee</td>
<td>name, salary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drawback: getting information about, e.g., employee requires accessing two tables
Representing Specialization as Tables (Cont.)

- Method 2:
  - Form a table for each entity set with all local and inherited attributes
    - Table
      - person: name, street, city
      - customer: name, street, city, credit-rating
      - employee: name, street, city, salary
    - If specialization is total, no need to create table for generalized entity (person)
    - Drawback: street and city may be stored redundantly for persons who are both customers and employees

Relations Corresponding to Aggregation

- To represent aggregation, create a table containing
  - primary key of the aggregated relationship,
  - the primary key of the associated entity set
  - Any descriptive attributes
Relations Corresponding to Aggregation (Cont.)

- E.g. to represent aggregation manages between relationship works-on and entity set manager, create a table manages(employee-id, branch-name, title, manager-name).

- Table works-on is redundant provided we are willing to store null values for attribute manager-name in table manages.

End of Chapter 2