Operating Systems Principles

Device I/O, Techniques & Frameworks

Mark Kampe (markk@cs.ucla.edu)

Final Project

- Value ... 10% of course grade (same as P1, P3)
- You have two options:
 OS research paper
 - get topic approved by TA this or next week
 - InternetOfThings embedded security project
 tell TA this week, check out Edison next week
- (draft) project descriptions on course calendar web.cs.ucla.edu/classes/spring16/cs111/projects/Paper.html web.cs.ucla.edu/classes/spring16/cs111/projects/Edison.html

Device I/O, Techniques & Frameworks

12A. Disks

- 12B. Low Level I/O Techniques
- 12C. Higher Level I/O Techniques
- 12D. Plug-in Driver Architectures



(Disk drive geometry)

• spindle

- a mounted assembly of circular platters
- head assembly

 read/write head per surface, all moving in unison

Device I/O, Techniques and Framev

- track
- ring of data readable by one head in one positioncylinder
- corresponding tracks on all platters
- sector
- logical records written within tracks
 disk address = <cylinder / head / sector >

Disks have Dominated File Systemsfast swap, file system, database access

- minimize seek overhead
 - organize file systems into cylinder clusters
 write-back caches and deep request queues
- minimize rotational latency delays
 - maximum transfer sizes

ice I/O, Techniques and Fra

- buffer data for full-track reads and writes
- we accepted poor latency in return for IOPS

	Cheeta (archival)	Barracuda (high perf)	Extreme/Pro (SSD)
RPM	7,000	15,000	n/a
average latency	4.3ms	2ms	n/a
average seek	9ms	4ms	n/a
transfer speed	105MB/s	125MB/s	540MB/s
sequential 4KB read	39us	33us	10us
sequential 4KB write	39us	33us	11us
random 4KB read	13.2ms	6ms	10us
random 4KB write	13.2ms	6ms	11us









I/O Interrupts

- device controllers, busses, and interrupts
 - busses have ability to send interrupts to the CPU
 - devices signal controller when they are done/ready
 - when device finishes, controller puts interrupt on bus

CPUs and interrupts

Device I/O, Techniques and Frameworks

- interrupts look very much like traps
 - traps come from CPU, interrupts are caused externally
- unlike traps, interrupts can be enabled/disabled
 - a device can be told it can or cannot generate interrupts
 - special instructions can enable/disable interrupts to CPU
 interrupt may be held *pending* until s/w is ready for it
- Interrupt may be neid pending until sy

<section-header><section-header><text>







Interrupt Driven Chain Scheduled I/O

else

}

I/O, Techniques and Frame

mark device idle

xx_intr() {
 extract completion info from controller

wakeup current request

if (more requests in queue) xx_start()

update completion info in current reg

3

(Bigger Transfers are Better)

- disks have high seek/rotation overheads

 larger transfers amortize down the cost/byte
- all transfers have per-operation overhead
 - instructions to set up operation
 - device time to start new operation
 - time and cycles to service completion interrupt
- larger transfers have lower overhead/byte

 this is not limited to s/w implementations

Input/Output Buffering

- Fewer/larger transfers are more efficient – they may not be convenient for applications
 - natural record sizes tend to be relatively small
- Operating system can buffer process I/O
 - maintain a cache of recently used disk blocks
 - accumulate small writes, flush out as blocks fill
 - read whole blocks, deliver data as requested
- Enables read-ahead
 - OS reads/caches blocks not yet requested

Deep Request Queues

• Having many I/O operations queued is good

- maintains high device utilization (little idle time)
- reduces mean seek distance/rotational delay
- may be possible to combine adjacent requests
- Ways to achieve deep queues:
 - many processes making requests
 - individual processes making parallel requests
 - read-ahead for expected data requests
 - write-back cache flushing

Device I/O, Techniques and Frameworks



(double-buffered output)

- multiple buffers queued up, ready to write – each write completion interrupt starts next write
- application and device I/O proceed in parallel – application queues successive writes
- don't bother waiting for previous operation to finish
 device picks up next buffer as soon as it is ready
- if we're CPU-bound (more CPU than output)
 application speeds up because it doesn't wait for I/O
- if we're I/O-bound (more output than CPU)

 device is kept busy, which improves throughput
 but eventually we may have to block the process





(double buffered input)

- have multiple reads queued up, ready to go

 read completion interrupt starts read into next buffer
- filled buffers wait until application asks for them
 - application doesn't have to wait for data to be read
- when can we do chain-scheduled reads?
 - each app will probably block until its read completes
 so we won't get multiple reads from one application
 - we can queue reads from multiple processes
 - we can do predictive read-ahead

Scatter/Gather I/O

- many controllers support DMA transfers

 entire transfer must be contiguous in physical memory
- user buffers are in paged virtual memory
 - user buffer may be spread all over physical memory
 - scatter: read from device to multiple pages
- gather: writing from multiple pages to device
- three basic approaches apply
 - copy all user data into contiguous physical buffer
 - split logical req into chain-scheduled page requests
 - I/O MMU may automatically handle scatter/gather



mechanisms: memory mapped I/O DMA may not be the best way to do I/O designed for large contiguous transfers some devices have many small sparse transfers e.g. consider a video game display adaptor implement as a bit-mapped display adaptor 1Mpixel display controller, on the CPU memory bus each word of memory corresponds to one pixel application uses ordinary stores to update display low overhead per update, no interrupts to service relatively easy to program







User-Mode Device Drivers

- why are drivers integrated into the OS
 - they need to used (privileged) I/O instructions
 - they need to service I/O interrupts
 - they are trusted with multi-user data
- these reasons become less compelling
 - memory mapped devices don't need I/O instrs
 - polled smart devices may not need interrupts
 - privileged processes are trusted
 - performance/robustness may be better







(Data Mirroring for Reliability)

- mirror writes to multiple targets
 - redundancy in case a target fails
 - spread reads across multiple targets
 - increased aggregate throughput, reduced ops/target
- used for all types of persistent storage - disks, NAS, distributed key/value stores
- potential issues
 - added write traffic on the source
 - 2x-3x storage requirements on targets



(Parity/Erasure Coding for Efficiency)

- N out of M encoding (with M/N overhead)
 - accumulate N writes from source
 - compute M versions of that collection
 - send a version to each of M targets
- Commonly used for archival storage
- Potential issues
 - greatly increased source computational load
 - deferred writes for parity block accumulation
 - expensive updates, recovery (and EC reads)

Device I/O. Techniques and Frameworks

Drivers – generalizing abstractions

- OS defines idealized device classes - disk, display, printer, tape, network, serial ports
- classes define expected interfaces/behavior - all drivers in class support standard methods
- device drivers implement standard behavior - make diverse devices fit into a common mold - protect applications from device eccentricities
- software analog to h/w device controllers device drivers connect a device controller to an OS vice I/O. Techniques and Frameworks

Device Driver Interface (DDI) • standard (top-end) device driver entry-points - basis for device independent applications - enables system to exploit new devices - a critical interface contract for 3rd party developers some correspond directly to system calls

- e.g. open, close, read, write

ice I/O, Techniques and Fram

- some are associated w/OS frameworks
 - disk drivers are meant to be called by block I/O
 - network drivers are meant to be called by protocols











Criticality of Stable Interfaces

- Drivers are independent from the OS – they are built by different organizations
 - $\operatorname{they}\nolimits$ are not co-packaged with the OS
- OS and drivers have interface dependencies
 - OS depends on driver implementations of DDI
 - drivers depends on kernel DKI implementations
- These interfaces must be carefully managed
 - well defined and well tested
 - upwards-compatible evolution



UNIX: device instances

- minor device # is an instance under a driver – meaning of minor number is entirely driver-specific
- instances may be physically distinct
 e.g. different serial ports, different disk drives
- instances may refer to multiplexed sub-devices

 e.g. one of four FDISK partitions on a hard disk
 e.g. a sub-channel on a communications interface
- instances may merely select different options

 e.g. enable rewind-on-close for a tape drive
 e.g. different densities for diskettes

8

evice I/O, Techniques and Frameworks



(driver instance/interface registration)

- driver must register each device instance
 - register name, class, and instance # of device
 - so programs will know that instance is available
- register driver methods for accessing that device
 - driver advertises its entrypoints for all methods
 which methods depend on the class and driver
 - enables other s/w to use device instance/call driver
- OS includes services to register and un-register
 - e.g. register_chrdev(major ID, minor ID, operations)
 - create special file for accessing device instance

Device I/O, Techniques and Frameworks

Assignments

- for the next lecture:
 - File Formats (Wikipedia)
 - Arpaci ch 39 ... Files and Directories
 - Arpaci ch 40 ... File System Implementation
 - FAT (DOS) file system format
 - Object Stores (history, architecture)
 - Key-Value Stores (introduction, types)
 - FUSE (file systems in user mode)