#### Performance Measurement and Analysis

- 9A. Introduction to performance and metrics
- 9B. Load characterization and generation
- 9C. Performance Measurement
- 9D. Performance Analysis
- 9E. Performance Results Reporting

#### Performance Analysis Goals

- Quantify the system performance
  - for competitive positioning
  - to assess the efficacy of previous work
  - to identify future opportunities for improvement
- Understand the system performance

   what factors are limiting our current performance
   what choices make us subject to these limitations
- Predict system performance

   how would proposed changes affect performance
- We seek <u>WISDOM</u> ... not numbers!

### Principles

- The Pareto Principle
- 80% of cycles are spent in 20% of the code "Data trumps opinions"
  - intuition often turns out to be wrong
  - we can't optimize what we don't measure
- "Rust never sleeps"
  - continuous measurement and comparison
     if we aren't getting faster, we're getting slower
- Performance is mostly about design

   code optimization is only occasionally useful

eadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

## Why performance is so hard

- · components operate in a complex system
  - many steps/components in every process
  - ongoing competition for all resources
  - difficulty of making clear/simple assertions
  - systems too large to replicate in laboratory
- lack of clear/rigorous requirements
  - performance is highly dependent on specifics
    what we measure, how we measure it
  - ask the wrong question, get the wrong answer

Deadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

## **Design for Performance**

- Establish solid performance requirements
  - justified by technology or competition
  - apportion them to major system components
- Anticipate bottlenecks
  - frequent operations (interrupts, copies, updates)
  - limiting resources (network/disk bandwidth)
  - traffic concentration points (resource locks)
- Design to minimize problems

   eliminate, reduce use, add resources

System Testing and Performance

### **Design For Performance Measurement**

- Performance is often key to success
  - successful systems generally perform well
     their performance is constantly improving
- External performance is of limited value
  - it can tell us if performance is good or bad
  - it cannot tell us why we are so performing
- · Good measurability must be designed in
  - understand the key diagnostic metrics
  - ensure that each is readily measurable

#### Performance: what to measure

- competitive performance metrics
  - used to compare competing products
     nominal response time for simple query
     standard transactions per second
- engineering performance metrics – used to spec components
  - used to analyze performance problems
    - time to perform a particular sub-operationchannel utilization, idle time, cycles per operation
- be clear on what your goals are

#### Metric

#### a standard unit

- metric must be quantifiable
  - time/rate, size/capacity, effectiveness/reliability ...

#### for measurement or evaluation

- metric must be measurable (or computable)

#### of something.

- an interesting/valuable quality/characteristic
- metric must be well-correlated with that quality

adlock, Prevention and Avoidanc

## **Choosing Your Metrics**

- Core question in any performance study – finding the right metrics is half the game
- Pick metrics based on:
  - Completeness: do these metrics span "goodness"?
  - Redundancy: each metric provides new info?
  - Variability: how consistent is it likely to be?
  - Feasibility: can I accurately measure this metric?
  - Diagnostic/Predictive value: yields valuable insight

## **Common Types of System Metrics**

- Duration/ response time
  - Mean latency for a benchmark request?
- Processing rate
  - How many web requests handled per second?
- Resource consumption
  - How much disk is currently used?
- Reliability
  - How many messages delivered without error?
  - Mean Time Between Failure

### Sources of Variation in Results

- · inconsistent test conditions
  - varying platforms, operations, injection rates
  - background activity on test platform
  - start-up, accumulation, cache effects
- flawed measurement choices/techniques
  - measurement artifact, sampling errors
  - measuring indirect/aggregate effects
- non-deterministic factors
  - queuing of processes, network and disk I/O

- where (on disk) files are allocated

Deadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

# Capturing Variation

- Generally requires repetition of the same experiment
- Ideally, sufficient repetitions to capture all likely outcomes
  - How do you know how many repetitions that is?
  - You don't
- Design your performance measurements bearing this in mind

## An Example

- 11 pings from UCLA to MIT in one night
- Each took a different amount of time (expressed in msec):

149.1 28.1 28.1 28.5 28.6 28.2 28.4 187.8 74.3 46.1 155.8
How do we understand what this says about how long a packet takes to get from LA to Boston and back?

## Statistical Measures of Samples

- tendency
  - mean ... the average of all samples
  - median ... the value of the middle sample
  - $-\operatorname{mode}\ldots$  the most commonly occurring value
- dispersion
  - range ... between the highest and lowest samples
  - standard deviation (  $\sigma$  ) ... range for 2/3 of samples
  - confidence interval ... Prob(x is within range)
  - $-\operatorname{coefficient}$  of variance ... standard deviation/mean

## Applied to Our Example Ping Data

- Mean: 71.2
- Median: 28.6 149.1 28.1 28.1 28.5 28.6 28.2 28.4 187.8 74.3 46.1 155.8
- Mode: 28.1
- Which of these best expresses the delay we saw?
  - Depends on what you care about

## Applied to Our Ping Data Example

- Range: 28.1,188
- Standard deviation: 62.0
- Coefficient of variation: .87

## Performance Testing: Factors

"Controlled variations, to enable comparison"

- We do experiments to answer questions - trials should be probative of those questions
- Usually we are exploring alternatives
  - what we increased the available memory?
  - what if requests were faster or different?
    what if we used a different file system?
- Choose factors to explore our questions

### Performance Testing: Levels

- A range of values/choices for each factor
- Some factors are boolean:
  - with and without synchronous mirroring
- Some factors have numerical ranges:
  - number of web requests applied per second
  - amount of memory devoted to I/O buffers
- Some factors have categorical levels:
  - Btrfs vs. Ext3 vs. XFS

## **Choosing Factors and Levels**

- Your experiment should look at all key factors – each factor tested at each interesting level
- #tests = 
   I levels(factor i)
   – this is a minimum if we want to capture variation
   – full range testing may be impractical
- We must choose factors and levels carefully

   omit some levels of some factors in some tests
   cover interesting values, but not all combinations

#### Operations, rates, mixes

- performance is operation-dependent

   reads, writes, creates, deletes, lookups ...
  - sequential, random, large, small
- it is also operation mix/order-dependent

   synergistic (e.g. cache) effects
  - adverse (e.g. resource contention) effects
- what mix of operations should we measure

   what best approximates expected usage?
  - what will best expose strengths and weaknesses

Simulated Work Loads

- Artificial load generation
  - on-demand generation of a specified load
  - controllable operation rates, parameters, mixes
  - scalable to produce arbitrarily large loads
  - can collect excellent performance data
- Weaknesses

dlock. Prevention and Avoidanc

- random traffic is not a usage scenario
- wrong parameter choices yield unrealistic loads

**Captured Sessions** 

- Captured operations from real systems
  - represent real usage scenarios
  - can be analyzed and replayed over and over
- Weakness
  - each represents only one usage scenario
  - multiple instances not equivalent to more users
  - danger of optimizing the wrong things
  - limited ability to exercise little-used features
  - they are kept around forever, and become stale

Deadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

## Testing under Live Loads

- · Instrumented systems serving clients
  - real combinations of real scenarios
  - measured against realistic background loads
  - enables collection of data on real usage
- Weakness
  - demands good performance and reliability
  - potetially limited testing opportunities
  - load cannot be repeated/scaled on demand

#### Deadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

# Standard Benchmarks

- · Carefully crafted/reviewed simulators
  - heavily reviewed by developers and customers
  - believed to be representative of real usage
  - standardized and widely available
  - well maintained (bugs, currency, improvements)
  - comparison of competing products
  - guide optimizations (of benchmark performance)
- Weakness
  - inertia, used where they are not applicable

## Meaningful Measurements

- · measure under controlled conditions
  - on a specified platform
  - under a controlled and calibrated load
- measure the right things

   direct measurements of key characteristics
- ensure quality of results

sting and Perfor

- competing measurements we can cross-compare
- measure/correct for artifacts
- quantify repeatability/variability of results

## **Common Performance Problems**

- non-scalable solutions
  - cost per operation becomes prohibitive at scale
  - worse-than-linear overheads and algorithms
  - queuing delays associated w/high utilization
- bottlenecks
  - one component that limits system throughput
- accumulated costs
  - layers of calls, data copies, message exchanges
  - redundant or unnecessary work

## Dealing w/Performance Problems

- is a lot like finding and fixing a bug
  - formulate a hypothesis
  - gather data to verify your hypothesis
  - be sure you understand underlying problem
  - review proposed solutions
    - for effectiveness
    - for potential side effects
  - make simple changes, one at a time
  - $-\operatorname{re-measure}$  to confirm effectiveness of each
- only harder

ystem Testing and Performance

## End-to-End Testing

- · client-side throughput/latency measurements
  - elapsed time for X operations of type Y
  - instrumented clients to collect detailed timings
- advantages
  - easy tests to run, easy data to analyze
  - results reflect client experienced performance
- disadvantages
  - no information about why it took that long
  - no information about resources consumed

Deadlock, Prevention and Avoidance

# Common Measurement Mistakes measuring time but not utilization everything is fast on a lightly loaded system capturing averages rather than distributions outliers are usually interesting ignoring start-up, accumulation, cache effects not measuring what we thought ignoring instrumentation artifact it may greatly distort both times and loads

## System Resource Utilization

	01110.1703							
iser onto.c	0m0.005							
ys K mnetat	0110.005							
o mpstat	0110/	0/-1	0/	0/114	0/1	0/6+	0/1-01-	land as la
)7:44:18 C	PU %user	%nice	%system	%iowait	%irq	%soft	%idle	intr/s
)7:44:18 a	II 3.01	57.31	0.36	0.13	0.01	0.00	39.19	1063.46
07:44:18 0	5.87	69.47	0.44	0.05	0.01	0.01	24.16	262.11
07:44:18 1	1.79	48.59	0.36	0.23	0.00	0.00	49.02	268.92
07:44:18 2	2.19	42.63	0.28	0.16	0.01	0.00	54.73	260.96
07:44:18 3	2.17	68.56	0.34	0.06	0.03	0.00	28.83	271.47
% iostat -d								
Device:	tps	read/s	wrtn/s	read		wrtn		
sda	194.72	1096.66	1598.70	27190687	04	3963827	344	
sda1 178.20		773.45	1329.09	19176867	94	3295354	888	
sda2	16.51	323.19	269.61	80132668	6 6684724	56		
sdb	371.31	945.97	1073.33	23454523	65	2661206	408	
sdb1	371.31	945.95	1073.33	23453969	01	2661206	408	
sdc	408.03	207.05	972.42	51336421	3 2411023	092		
sdc1	408.03	207.03	972.42	51330874	9 2411023	092		





### Measurement Artifact

- costs of instrumentation code
  - additional calls, instructions, cache misses
  - additional memory consumption and paging
- costs of logging results
  - may dwarf the costs of instrumentation
  - increased disk load/latency may slow everything
- make it run-time controllable option
- minimize file/network writes
- in-memory circular buffer, reduce before writing
   eadlock. Prevention and Avoidance



System Testing and Performance

**Execution Profiling** Simple execution profiling %time seconds cum % cum sec procedure (file) 42.9 0.0029 42.9 0.00 printit (profsample.c) 42 9 0 0029 85 7 0.01 add vector (profsample.c) mult\_by\_scalar (profsample.c) 14.3 0.0010 100.0 0.01 Profiling with call counting % cumulative self self total seconds seconds calls ms/call ms/call name 42.9 0.0029 0.0029 2200 0.0013 0.0013 printit 42.9 0.0058 0.0029 20 0.1450 0.1450 add\_vector 0 0.0058 0.0000 main 0.0068 0.0010 0.5000 1.2225 mult by scalar 14.3 2



Dump of s	simple trace log			
date	time	event	sub-type	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.207408	packet_rcv	0x20749329	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.209301	packet_route	0x20749329	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.305208	wakeup	0x4D8C2042	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.401106	read packet	0x033C2DA0	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.401223	read packet	0x033C2DA0	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.402110	sleep	0x4D8C2042	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31.614209	interrupt	0x0000003	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31 614209	disnatch	0x1B0324C0	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31 614210	intr return	0x0000003	
05/11/0	6 09:02:31 652303	check queue	0x2D3E2040	
05/11/0	6 00:02:31.052305	nackot rov	0x20312040	























## Performance Presentation

- highlight the key results
  - answers to the basic questions
  - identified problems, risks and opportunities
- why should they believe these results
  - methodology employed, relation to other results
     back-up details
- not just numbers, but explanations
  - how do we now better understand the system
  - how does this affect our plans and intentions











# Performance Testing

- identify key performance metrics
  - throughputs, response times, failure rates
  - some may be external competitive numbers
  - some may be internal assessment numbers
- define ways to measure each

   test transactions and measurement points
- define suites to exercise and measure – there are often performance benchmarks
- · this testing should be automated

stem Testing and Performan

## Meaningful Measurements

- measure under controlled conditions

   on a specified platform
  - under a controlled and calibrated load
- · measure the right things
  - direct measurements of key characteristics
- ensure quality of results
  - competing measurements we can cross-compare
  - measure/correct for artifacts
  - quantify repeatability/variability of results

ystem Testing and Performanc

## Factors in Experiments

- We do experiments to answer questions

   choose factors that are probative of those questions
- If you care about web server scaling ...
   , factors probably related to amount of work offered
- If you want to know which file system works best for you, factor is likely to be different file systems
- If you're deciding how to partition a disk, factor is likely to be different partitionings

### Measurement Workloads

- Most measurement programs require the use of a *workload*
- Some kind of work applied to the system you are testing
- Preferably similar to the work you care about
- Can be of several different forms
  - Simulated workloads
  - Replayed trace
  - Live workload
  - Standard benchmarks