

How to Solve Set Constraints

Jens Palsberg

October 1, 2008

We will explain how to solve a particular class of set constraints in cubic time.

1 Set Constraints

Let \mathcal{C} be a finite set of constants, and let \mathcal{V} be a set of variables. A set constraint is a conjunction of constraints of the forms:

$$\begin{aligned}c \in v \\ c \in v \Rightarrow v' \subseteq v''\end{aligned}$$

where $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $v, v', v'' \in \mathcal{V}$. For a particular set constraint, we use C to denote the finite set of constants that occur in that set constraint, and we use V to denote the finite set of variables that occur in that set constraint.

We use 2^C to denote the powerset of C . A set constraint has solution $\varphi : V \rightarrow 2^C$ if

- for each conjunct of the form $c \in v$, we have $c \in \varphi(v)$ and
- for each conjunct of the form $c \in v \Rightarrow v' \subseteq v''$, we have $c \in \varphi(v) \Rightarrow \varphi(v') \subseteq \varphi(v'')$.

We say that a set constraint is satisfiable if it has a solution.

Theorem 1 *Every set constraint is satisfiable.*

Proof. The mapping $\lambda v : V.C$ is a solution of the set constraint. □

For two mappings $\varphi, \psi : V \rightarrow 2^C$, we define the binary intersection $\varphi \sqcap \psi$ as:

$$\varphi \sqcap \psi = \lambda v : V.(\varphi(v) \cap \psi(v))$$

Theorem 2 *For a given set constraint, the binary intersection of two solutions is itself a solution.*

Proof. Suppose $\varphi, \psi : V \rightarrow 2^C$ are both solutions. Let us examine each of the conjuncts of the set constraint.

- For a conjunct of the form $c \in v$, we have from φ, ψ being solutions that $c \in \varphi(v)$ and $c \in \psi(v)$. From $c \in \varphi(v)$ and $c \in \psi(v)$ we have $c \in (\varphi(v) \cap \psi(v))$ which is equivalent to $c \in (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v)$.
- for each conjunct of the form $c \in v \Rightarrow v' \subseteq v''$, we have from φ, ψ being solutions that $c \in \varphi(v) \Rightarrow \varphi(v') \subseteq \varphi(v'')$ and $c \in \psi(v) \Rightarrow \psi(v') \subseteq \psi(v'')$. We want to show $c \in (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v) \Rightarrow (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v') \subseteq (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v'')$. Suppose $c \in (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v)$. From $c \in (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v)$ and the definition of \sqcap , we have $c \in (\varphi(v) \cap \psi(v))$, so we have $c \in \varphi(v)$ and $c \in \psi(v)$. From $c \in \varphi(v)$ and $c \in \varphi(v) \Rightarrow \varphi(v') \subseteq \varphi(v'')$, we have $\varphi(v') \subseteq \varphi(v'')$. From $c \in \psi(v)$ and $c \in \psi(v) \Rightarrow \psi(v') \subseteq \psi(v'')$, we have $\psi(v') \subseteq \psi(v'')$. From $\varphi(v') \subseteq \varphi(v'')$ and $\psi(v') \subseteq \psi(v'')$, we have $(\varphi(v') \cap \psi(v')) \subseteq (\varphi(v'') \cap \psi(v''))$, which is equivalent to $(\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v') \subseteq (\varphi \sqcap \psi)(v'')$, and that is the desired result.

□

For the space $V \rightarrow 2^C$, we define an ordering \subseteq as follows. We say that $\varphi \subseteq \psi$ if and only if for all $v \in V : \varphi(v) \subseteq \psi(v)$. For a set $S \subseteq (V \rightarrow 2^C)$, we say that an element $\varphi \in S$ is the \subseteq -least element of S if for all $\psi \in S : \varphi \subseteq \psi$.

Theorem 3 *Every set constraint has a \subseteq -least solution.*

Proof. Let a particular set constraint be given. The space of possible solutions of the set constraint is $V \rightarrow 2^C$, which is a finite set. Let $S \subseteq (V \rightarrow 2^C)$ be the set of solutions of the set constraint. From $V \rightarrow 2^C$ being finite, we have that S is finite. From Lemma 1 we have that S is nonempty. So, S is a nonempty, finite set. Let $S = \{ \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \dots, \varphi_n \}$. Let $\varphi = (\dots((\varphi_1 \sqcap \varphi_2) \sqcap \varphi_3) \dots \sqcap \varphi_n)$. From Lemma 2 we have that φ is a solution of the set constraint, so $\varphi \in S$. Additionally, we have that for all $i \in 1..n : \varphi \subseteq \varphi_i$. So, φ is the \subseteq -least solution of the set constraint. □

2 Solving Set Constraint Efficiently

We will translate the problem of solving a set constraint into a graph problem. For a given set constraint, the initial graph has one node for each element of V , and an empty set of edges. Additionally, each node v is associated with a bit vector B_v of length $|C|$ in which every bit is initially 0. For a bit vector B_v and a constant $c \in C$, we denote the entry for c in B_v by $B_v[c]$. Finally, every bit in every bit vector is associated with a list $L_v[c]$ of constraints of the form $v' \subseteq v''$; all those lists are initially empty.

The initial graph represents the mapping $\lambda v : V.\emptyset$. The idea is that for a given $v \in V$, the bit vector B_v represents a subset of C . When all the bits are 0, the bit vector B_v represents the empty set. An edge in the graph implies a subset relationship. If the graph has an edge from v to v' , it implies that the set represented by the bit vector associated with v is a subset of the set represented by the bit vector associated with v' .

We now process each conjunct of the set constraint in turn. The processing will add edges, change bits from 0 to 1, and add constraints to the constraint lists associated with bits in the bit vectors. We will use the following two procedures propagate and insert-edge as key subroutines.

```

procedure propagate( $v$ :Node,  $c$ :Constant) {
  if ( $B_v[c] == 0$ ) {
     $B_v[c] = 1$ 
    for (each element ( $v' \subseteq v''$ ) of  $L_v[c]$ ) { insert-edge( $v', v''$ ) }
    for (each edge ( $v, v'$ )) { propagate( $v', c$ ) }
  }
}

procedure insert-edge( $v, v'$ :Node) {
  insert an edge ( $v, v'$ )
  for ( $c$  such that  $B_v[c] == 1$ ) { propagate( $v', c$ ) }
}

```

For a constraint of the form $c \in v$, we execute $\text{propagate}(v, c)$. For a constraint of the form $c \in v \Rightarrow v' \subseteq v''$, we execute:

```

if ( $B_v[c] == 0$ ) { add the constraint ( $v' \subseteq v''$ ) to the list  $L_v[c]$  }
else { insert-edge( $v', v''$ ) }

```

When we have processed all the constraints, the resulting graph represents the \subseteq -least solution of the set constraint.

We will now analyze the time complexity of the constraint processing. We will do the analysis for a set constraint with $|C| = O(n)$, $|V| = O(n)$, $O(n)$ conjuncts of the form $c \in v$, and $O(n^2)$ conjuncts of the form $c \in v \Rightarrow v' \subseteq v''$. For each conjunct, the algorithm performs a constant amount of work except for the calls to the propagate subroutine. So, the total time is $O(n^2)$ plus the time spent by propagate. The propagate routine itself performs a constant amount of work except for recursive calls! So the key to analyzing the time spent by propagate is to determine the *number of calls* to the propagate routine. The processing of the set constraint generates $O(n^3)$ immediate calls to propagate. The recursion involved in each call to propagate stops when finding a bit that is 1. So, for each $c \in C$, the total work of all calls of the form $\text{propagate}(v, c)$ is given by the number of edges in the graph, which is $O(n^2)$. To sum up, the total time is $O(n^2) + (O(n) \times O(n^2)) = O(n^3)$.