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Introduction
High-Resolution Image Synthesis with Latent Diffusion Models (CVPR’22)

- Operating on latent space of pre-trained auto-encoders, instead of on pixel space.

A YouTube video from Lightning AI: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AQrMWH8aC0Q
Prerequisites:
- U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image Segmentation (MICCAI’15)

Related Works:
- GLIDE: Towards Photorealistic Image Generation and Editing with Text-Guided Diffusion Models (CVPR’21)
- (CLIP) Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision (CVPR’21)
- (DALLE) Zero-Shot Text-to-Image Generation
- (DALLE-2) Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents (CVPR’22)
Quick Recap: Generative Models

**GAN:** Adversarial training

**VAE:** maximize variational lower bound

**Flow-based models:** Invertible transform of distributions

**Diffusion models:** Gradually add Gaussian noise and then reverse

---

**Figure:** Overview of different types of generative models.

---

{lilianweng.github.io 2021 post on diffusion models}
**Table: Advantage & Disadvantage of the Generative Models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>likelihood-based?</th>
<th>Good At</th>
<th>Not Good At</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAN</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>efficient sampling; perceptual quality</td>
<td>optimize; capture data distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAE/Flow-based</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>capture data distribution; optimize</td>
<td>perceptual quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMs</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>capture data distribution; perceptual quality</td>
<td>computation cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Quick Recap: Generative Models for Images

To put it in other words:

▶ Capture Full Data Distribution: being able to generate unobserved samples, e.g. ridiculous photos

▶ Perceptual Quality: high fidelity, looks like real and much detailed, e.g. producing photo-realistic images

▶ Optimize: stable training, easy to optimize.
Earlier days: being able to identify objects in images.

2015: Automated Image Captioning (Images to Natural Language Descriptions)
Earlier days: being able to identify objects in images.

2015: Automated Image Captioning (Images to Natural Language Descriptions)

2016: Images from Captions

▶ “We can do image to text, why not try doing text to image and see how it works?” – Elman Mansimov (AWS)

▶ Generating Images from Captions with Attention (ICLR’16)

▶ LM: Bidirectional Attention RNN
▶ IM: Conditional DRAW Network (stochastic RNN that consists of a sequence of latent variables)

▶ Blurred but reasonable results. Being able to generate something unobserved before. e.g. Blue school bus.
Figure 3: **Top:** Examples of changing the color while keeping the caption fixed. **Bottom:** Examples of changing the object while keeping the caption fixed. The shown images are the probabilities $\sigma(c_T)$. Best viewed in colour.
AI Art isn’t new. e.g. Morphing portraits, style transfer, etc.

- Generating a specific type of image is easy. e.g. faces.
- Generating a scene from any natural language description?
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- Generating a specific type of image is easy. e.g. faces.
- Generating a scene from any natural language description?

2021: OpenAI introduced DALL·E

- Usage: https://github.com/openai/DALL-E
- Source code NOT released.
- Not diffusion model yet. GPT-like model, auto-regressively generating an image from text + start of an image.

2021: GLIDE comes after: arxiv.org/abs/2112.10741

- Open-sourced
  https://github.com/openai/glide-text2im
History of Text-to-Image

AI Art isn’t new. e.g. Morphing portraits, style transfer, etc.

- Generating a specific type of image is easy. e.g. faces.
- Generating a scene from any natural language description?

2021: OpenAI introduced DALL·E

- Usage: https://github.com/openai/DALL-E
- Source code NOT released.
- Not diffusion model yet. GPT-like model, auto-regressively generating an image from text + start of an image.

2021: GLIDE comes after: arxiv.org/abs/2112.10741

- Open-sourced
  https://github.com/openai/glide-text2im

Prompt Engineering: the craft of communicating with these zero-shot pre-trained deep learning models.
The successor of DALL·E in 2022 is DALL·E-2 (https://openai.com/dall-e-2/). With:

- Higher resolution;
- Greater comprehension;
- New capabilities e.g. in-painting.
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Latent (Stable) Diffusion is probably not the best model, but it has a great advantage being open-sourced (and released parameters). Therefore, everyone adapted their model and play with this version of text-to-image generator (e.g. https://novelai.net/).

- Note: can do much more than text-to-image generation. (Condition can be more than text.)
The successor of DALL·E in 2022 is DALL·E-2 (https://openai.com/dall-e-2/). With:

- Higher resolution;
- Greater comprehension;
- New capabilities e.g. in-painting.

Latent (Stable) Diffusion is probably not the best model, but it has a great advantage being open-sourced (and released parameters). Therefore, everyone adapted their model and play with this version of text-to-image generator (e.g. https://novelai.net/).

- Note: can do much more than text-to-image generation. (Condition can be more than text.)

And in a way, these models help reveal “how AI understands our world”.
### Table: The star AI painting tools in year 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Availability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
<td>Stable/Latent Diffusion</td>
<td>official code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 2022</td>
<td>MidJourney</td>
<td>no paper yet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2022</td>
<td>DALL·E-2</td>
<td>unofficial code</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Latent Diffusion
Diffusion Models (DMs) for Images

**Figure:** Input: Random Noise (of the size of the image); Output Sequence: De-noised result from the previous step; Final Output: Image.  *Trained via learning parameters to apply noise to images iteratively until it is complete noise, and inference by going through the opposite way.* (from video YouTube Video mentioning Imagen)
Diffusion Process: go from little noise to more noise.

Backward Diffusion Process: the reverse, from more noisy version to clearer image.

Why having $T$ steps?
Diffusion Process: go from little noise to more noise.

Backward Diffusion Process: the reverse, from more noisy version to clearer image.

Why having $T$ steps?

- Breaking-down a hard problem (generating images from noise).
- Easier to inject condition (e.g. text information) gradually than all at once.
Diffusion Models (DMs) for Images

Belong to the class of likelihood-based probabilistic models.

Learn a data distribution $p(x)$ by gradually denoising a normally-distributed variable $x_T$, i.e. learning the reverse process of a fixed Markov Chain of length $T$.

$$L_{DM} = \mathbb{E}_{x, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[ \| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) \|_2^2 \right],$$

where $t$ is uniformly sampled from $\{1, 2, \ldots T\}$, and the models can be interpreted as an equally-weighted sequence of denoising autoencoders $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t)$ ($\epsilon_{\theta}$ is typically implemented as U-Net), trained to predict the denoised version of $x_t$, namely $x$. The above version works well in image settings.

Diffusion models are capable of modeling conditional distributions $p(x|y)$ via using a conditional denoising autoencoder $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, y)$.
**Figure:** Diffusion Process. Illustration comes from Lightning AI YouTube video.
Figure: Backward Diffusion Process in general. Illustration comes from Lightning AI YouTube video.
Figure: Backward Diffusion Process iteration $i$. Illustration comes from Lightning AI YouTube video.
Figure: Backward Diffusion Process gets the final outcome. Illustration comes from Lightning AI YouTube video.
Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs): Motivation

\[ L_{DM} = \mathbb{E}_{x, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[ \| \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t) \|^2_2 \right] \]

The most powerful DMs are often computationally demanding.

- **Costly Training:** UNet has typically \( \approx 800M \) parameters; the model takes hundreds of GPU days to train, prone to spend excessive amounts of capacity on modeling imperceptible details; \(^2\)

- **Costly Evaluation:** cost a lot of time and memory, must run the same architecture sequentially for many of steps.

- e.g. Diffusion Models Beat GANs on Image Synthesis (NeurIPS’21) takes 150 - 1000 V100 days to train, 25 - 1000 steps to evaluate.

\(^2\)This is because of the Mode-Covering behavior (reference)
Quick Recap: Mode-Covering Behavior

Minimize difference between $Q(x)$ and $P(x)$ at data distribution $P(x) > 0$:

Figure: Bad v.s. Good mode-covering. On the left (bad) example, the right hand side mode is not covered by $Q(x)$, but it is the case that $P(x) > 0$. (from agustinus.kristia.de)

This behavior makes the DMs costly.
Previous solutions of the cost:

- Weighted importance of steps. (still expensive)

\[ L_{DM} = \mathbb{E}_{x, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t} \left[ \lambda(t) \| \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(x_t, t) \|_2^2 \right] \]

- Having an extra model to learn upsampling & sharpening of images. (still working on image space) e.g. GLIDE.

**Observation:** Most bits of a digital image correspond to imperceptible details, but we still need to train and evaluate on all pixels if we work on pixel spaces.
Highlighted Novelty: Do Diffusion on **Latent** Space, and accept more general types of conditions.

- **Operating on latent space** (perceptually equivalent space) of powerful pre-trained auto-encoders, instead of directly on pixel space (*compared with standard Diffusion Models*).

- **Less Costly**: Fast sampling, efficient training, one-step decoding to image space.

- **More Flexibility**: More general conditions. (Besides, operation on latent space makes it easier to add other signals.)
Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs): Components

Three Major Components, trained separately:

- **Autoencoder**: Implemented as Variational Autoencoder (VAE); Handling perceptual image compression.
  - Encoder $\mathcal{E}$, Decoder $\mathcal{D}$
  - $z = \mathcal{E}(x)$ where the RGB image $x \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ turns into latent representation $z \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times w \times c}$, while $\tilde{x} = \mathcal{D}(z)$ tries to reconstruct $x$.
  - Some regularization terms applied (e.g. KL-penalilty towards a standard normal) to avoid arbitrarily high-variance.

- **Denoiser**: **Latent Diffusion Models**
  \[ L_{LDM} = \mathbb{E}_{x, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)} \left[ \| \epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(z_t, t) \|^2 \right], \]
  where the neural backbone $\epsilon_\theta$ of LDM is realized as a time-conditional attention UNet.

- **Conditioning Encoder**: can be arbitrary encoder that produces a sequence of tokens.
DMs are capable of modeling conditional distribution $p(z|y)$, by using a conditional denoiser $\epsilon_\theta(z_t, t, y)$.

LDMs propose to augment the UNet backbone implementing $\epsilon_\theta$ with the cross-attention mechanism. $Q, K, V$ are projection of $\phi_i(z_t)$, $\tau_\theta(y)$, $\tau_\theta(y)$ respectively, where $\phi_i(z_t)$ is the flattened intermediate representation of the U-Net implementing $\epsilon_\theta$.

$$L_{LDM} = \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon(x), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[ \|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(z_t, t, \tau_\theta(y))\|_2^2 \right],$$

$\tau_\theta$ is a domain specific encoder used to project $y$, e.g. $\tau_\theta$ can be transformers when $y$ are text prompts.
Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs): Architecture

Figure: Figure 3 in the original paper.
Figure: The role autoencoder plays in the model. Note: we actually use separated encoder $\mathcal{E}$ and decoder $\mathcal{D}$. But this detail is not illustrated in this figure precisely for simplicity concern.
LDMs: Autoencoder & Denoiser

![Diagram of LDMs: Autoencoder & Denoiser](image)

- **Pixel Space**
- **Variational Autoencoder**
- **Latent Space**

**Diffusion Process**
- $t_0$, $t_1$, ..., $t_N$
- Gaussian noise
- Predicted noise at $t_i$

**Attention U-Net**
- Update input
- Get noisy image at $t_{i-1}$

Repeat until $i-1=0$
LDMs: Autoencoder & Denoiser & Conditioning

Variational Autoencoder

Latent Space

Diffusion Process

Predicted noise at $t_i$

Get noisy image at $t_{i-1}$

Repeat until $i-1=0$

Condition (text, image, segmentation, etc.)

Condition Encoder

Condition embedding

Pixel Space

U-Net

Attention

Update input

Gaussian noise

$t_0$

$t_1$

$t_i$

$t_N$
Figure: \( \text{Noise} = \text{Noise}_{\text{uncond}} + \beta (\text{Noise}_{\text{cond}} - \text{Noise}_{\text{uncond}}) \)
Quick Recap: Convolutional-Based Models

Figure: An example of a convolutional-based model. Image from YouTube. **U-Net** is a special kind of convolutional-based model.
Quick Recap: U-Net

Figure: Blue box: a multi-channel feature map; White box: copied feature maps. Very common tool for image segmentation. Preserves the dimensionality.
Quick Recap: U-Net

Contracting Block
- Decreasing size
- Increasing feature
- Capture context

Bottleneck
- Keep the same size
- Increasing feature
- Capture context

Expansive Block
- Increasing size
- Decreasing feature
- Combining contextual information
- Enables localization

Diagram showing the flow of information through U-Net with labels for each block.
How LDM uses Unet?

LDM changes convolution + ReLu layers by ResNet+Spatial Transformer layers.

LDM adds timestep information and context information.

Figure: from Lightning AI video. *Context embedding* is the *condition embedding*. 
Component Details: ResNet

**Figure:** from Lightning AI video. Takes in time-step embedding.
Figure: from Lightning AI video. Takes in context (condition) embedding.
Quick Recap: Attention

Figure: from Lightning AI video.
Figure: from Lightning AI video. $Q$ from image embedding, $K$ and $V$ both from context (condition) embedding.
Quick Recap: Multi-Head Attention

- Captures how different parts of the data relate to each other
- Allows the model to focus in different positions in the data

Figure: from Lightning AI video. For more examples like this visit this blog.
Quick Recap: Multi-Head Attention

A **Hedgehog** crossing the **street**

*Figure: from Lightning AI video.*
Quick Recap: Multi-Head Attention

Figure: from Lightning AI video. Allows for more flexible attention.
Component Details: Variational Autoencoder

- The output of the encoder is used to compute $\mu$ and $\sigma$
- The decoder receives a sample $z=\mu + \sigma \varepsilon$
- $\varepsilon$ dimensions match $\sigma$ and $\mu$ dimensions

Figure: from Lightning AI video. Note: $\mu$ and $\sigma$ can be vector instead of matrices, making implementation easier.
Component Details: Attention in VAE

Figure: from Lightning AI video. Attention mechanism in the VAE.
The condition encoder can be arbitrary in theory. e.g. Can be a BERT transformer when condition is text.

The condition can be text or layout, thus they chose to implement the condition encoder as CLIP.
Quick Recap: CLIP

(1) Contrastive pre-training

Image Encoder

Text Encoder

Pepper the aussie pup

T1 T2 T3 ... TN

I1 I2 I3 ...

I1·T1 I1·T2 I1·T3 ... I1·TN

I2·T1 I2·T2 I2·T3 ... I2·TN

I3·T1 I3·T2 I3·T3 ... I3·TN

⋮ ⋮ ⋮

IN·T1 IN·T2 IN·T3 ... IN·TN

(2) Create dataset classifier from label text

Text Encoder

plane

car
dog

bird

A photo of a {object}.

(3) Use for zero-shot prediction

Image Encoder

T1 T2 T3 ... TN

IN·T1 IN·T2 IN·T3 ... IN·TN

A photo of a dog.

Figure: Standard image models jointly train an image encoder and a linear classifier, whereas CLIP jointly trains an image encoder and a text encoder, to predict the correct pairings of \((image, text)\). Enables zero-shot prediction at inference stage.
Quick Recap: CLIP

Components:

- Text Encoder: transformers
- Image Encoder: different variants of ResNets, and Visual Transformer (ViT)
  - Visual transformers divide images into small blocks processed as “words”.

Besides:

- Contrastive-learning framework.
- The model shows in different flavors, also do ensembles to achieve higher performance.
- Linear probing could be replaced by a classification layer that needs fine-tune.
- Prompt engineering matters (a lot).
Quick Recap: CLIP Performance

Figure: Zero-shot results. Selected from CLIP paper Figure 21 in their Appendix. Not always good on some fine-grained classification tasks.
Quick Recap: CLIP Limitations

- Scaling: continued improvement on Zero-Shot CLIP to reach overall SOTA performance will require 1000x increase in compute. (current hardware infeasible)
- Not amazing on all tasks. e.g. trouble with MNIST. Limited by training data set’s coverage.
- No caption generation, only caption retrieval (i.e. select text, not compose new text).
- Does not address any problem (e.g. data efficiency problem, fairness problem etc.) in deep learning.
Quick Recap: CLIP Applications

- Image-Searching Engine. (e.g., “car driving in a wood”)
- Can be used as a discriminator in a GAN framework.
Quick Recap: CLIP Applications

- Image-Searching Engine. (e.g., “car driving in a wood”)
- Can be used as a discriminator in a GAN framework.
- VQ-GAN+CLIP: a powerful model to create image from text. (An Online Tutorial available)
  - VQ-GAN: generating images that are similar to others (no prompt)
  - CLIP: text to image
  - Implementations were made public on Google Colab, no coding needed.
- etc.
The three components are trained separately. In particular:

1. The autoencoders (VAE $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{D}$) are trained first, thus the model knows how to convert images from pixel space to latent space.

2. The denoiser $\epsilon_\theta$ and condition encoder $\tau_\theta$ are jointly optimized.
Autoencoder (VAE) Training

Figure: from Lightning AI video. Re-parameterization trick.
Autoencoder (VAE) Training

**Figure:** from Lightning AI video. Evidence Lower Bound Objective (ELBO): \( \text{likelihood}(\text{input} - \text{output}) - KLD(\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) || \mathcal{N}(0, 1)) \)
Figure: from Lightning AI video. Loss can be $\ell_p$ norm.
Condition Encoder (CLIP) Training

Use the cross entropy across images and text to define the loss.

Figure: from Lightning AI video. Sum the loss across rows and columns (i.e., across text and across images) as loss.
**Applications:** Inpainting, Landscape, Modification...

**Figure:** from Lightning AI video. Achieved via different conditions. Inpainting: segmentation map; Semantic Landscape: text explaining the coordinates and objects; Image modification: condition — text, input — original image.
Applications: super resolution

**Figure:** ImageNet 64 → 256 super-resolution on ImageNet-Val. LDM-SR has advantages at rendering realistic textures but SR3 can synthesize more coherent fine structures.
Applications: Personalize

Figure: from Lightning AI video. In this example, a more general sample is provided to avoid language drift. An example of fine-tuning. A few-shot learning scenario.
Conclusion
Model follows the Latent Diffusion paper & code (both open-sourced);

Teamed up with StabilityAI;

Worked with communities e.g. LAION.
For Stable Diffusion: ³

The core dataset was trained on LAION-Aesthetics, a soon to be released subset of LAION 5B. LAION-Aesthetics was created with a new CLIP-based model that filtered LAION-5B based on how “beautiful” an image was, building on ratings from the alpha testers of Stable Diffusion. LAION-Aesthetics will be released with other subsets in the coming days on https://laion.ai.

Training set matters, a lot.

³Official Announcement
DALL·E: https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.12092

- Two stages:
  - Stage 1: Learning Visual Codebook. Train a discrete variational autoencoder (dVAE) to compress images into tokens.
  - Stage 2: Learning Prior. Concatenate BPE-encoded text tokens with the image tokens, train an autoregressive transformer to model the joint distribution over the text and image tokens.
- Training Procedure: maximizing the evidence lower bound (ELB).
- gibberish also works: Discovering the Hidden Vocabulary of DALL·E-2
DALL·E-2

- Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents
- The three separated components to train:
  1. training of CLIP: the most important step;
  2. train the Decoder: generate images based on the CLIP image embedding; Need the trained CLIP from the first step;
  3. train Diffusion Prior Network: takes the CLIP text embeddings and generate the CLIP image embeddings; Need the trained CLIP from the first step.

- (Unofficial) Code:
  https://github.com/lucidrains/DALLE2-pytorch
GLIDE: https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10741

- Using image down-sampling / up-sampling algorithm to reduce parameter size.
- Less parameter but slower inference than DALL-E.
GLIDE: https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.10741
▶ Using image down-sampling / up-sampling algorithm to reduce parameter size.
▶ Less parameter but slower inference than DALL-E.

Another Platform mentioned together – MidJourney:
▶ An independent research lab
https://www.midjourney.com/home/
▶ Users create artwork with Midjourney using Discord bot commands.
Main differences:

- Used different data sets.
- LDM works on latent space. GLIDE works on pixel space, reducing parameter size via image down-sampling and up-sampling algorithms.
- LDM can handle more general conditions, while GLIDE considers only text condition.
- Different ways of injecting text condition.
Two standard ways of injecting text conditions in DMs:

1. Concatenate the encoded text from a language transformer (usually sentence level, [CLS]) to the image input.

2. Multi-head Cross Attention: Letting every U-Net attention layer attend to all the text tokens (from language transformer).

GLIDE uses them BOTH, but it is still not sufficient in inference time.

- They used CLIP-guided diffusion to make the generated image better correspond to text.

- Takes the de-noised image, and move it towards the direction of “more similar to text goal” (by considering \( \nabla \) of CLIP score).
What is the other way of making the text information more obvious to GLIDE?

At each diffusion step, they applied this trick:

1. Produce the image twice: once with text, once without access to text.

2. Compute the difference between the version with-and-without text, and then move towards the direction of text information.

Isn’t it familiar?

Recall LDM:

\[ \text{Noise} = \text{Noise}_{\text{uncond}} + \beta(\text{Noise}_{\text{cond}} - \text{Noise}_{\text{uncond}}) \]
The trick is the **Classifier-Free Guidance**. (Worked well in practice!)

- [https://openreview.net/forum?id=qw8AKxfYbI](https://openreview.net/forum?id=qw8AKxfYbI)
- Classifier Guidance: the diffusion score include the gradient of the log likelihood of an auxiliary classifier model $p(z|c)$, where $c$ is the condition information (e.g. text embedding) and $z$ is the noisy image. Downside: requires an additional classifier model.

- Classifier-Free Guidance: jointly train the same architecture with & without the condition, computes a linear combination of the conditional and unconditional score.
Figure: High-level overview of DALL·E-2. Above the dotted line: training; Below the dotted line: generation. $z_i$ is the CLIP image embedding, $y$ is the caption/condition, $x$ is the image output. Need to train a **prior** $p(z_i | y)$ (conditioning), a **decoder** $p(x | z_i, y)$ (implemented as diffusion models).

$$p(x | y) = p(x, z_i | y) = p(x | z_i, y)p(z_i | y)$$
Main differences:

- Used different data sets.
- Different architectures:
  - LDM: VAE, Denoiser, Condition Encoder
  - DALL·E-2: CLIP, Decoder, Prior (condition encoder)
- Different ways of injecting condition.
DALL·E-2 Decoder $p(x|z_i, y)$, producing image $x$ from CLIP image embeddings $z_i$ (and, optionally, text captions $y$).

- Similar to GLIDE pipeline, but project CLIP embeddings into 4 extra tokens of context, then concatenated to the sequence of outputs from the GLIDE text encoder. Classifier-free guidance (with v.s. without CLIP embedding) applied.

- Also trained two diffusion upsampler models to generate high resolution images. Found it useless to consider $y$ while upsampling, thus ignored it.
Two versions of DALL·E-2 prior $p(z_i|y)$, modeling CLIP image embedding $z_i$ from caption $y$:

- **Autoregressive (AR) prior**: $^4$ converted $z_i$ into a sequence of discrete codes predicted autoregressively conditioned on $y$. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is applied to reduce the dimensionality of $z_i$. Predict the resulting sequence using a Transformer model with a causal attention mask.

- **Diffusion prior**: directly model $z_i$ using a Gaussian diffusion model conditioned on $y$. Train a decoder-only Transformer.

$$L_{prior} = \mathbb{E}_{t \sim [1, T], z_i^{(t)} \sim q} \left[ \| f_\theta(z_i^{(t)}, t, y) - z_i \|^2 \right]$$

$^4$A note on autoregressive v.s. autoencoding.
In addition, both options use CLIP text embedding $z_t$ since it is a deterministic function of the caption.

- **AR:** add $y$ and $z_t$ as a prefix to the sequence. Prepend a token $z_i \cdot z_t$ as well.

- **DM:** use $z_t$ as part of the input sequence of the decoder-only Transformer. Used to improve quality during sampling, generating two samples of $z_i$ and selecting the one with a higher dot product with $z_t$. 
Figure: Theatre D’opera Spatial, won the Colorado State Fair digital art contest Aug 2022.
With #stablediffusion img2img, I can help bring my 4yr old’s sketches to life.

Baby and daddy ice cream robot monsters having a fun day at the beach. 😍

#AiArtwork
People (who do not have to know AI well) had great fun playing with those tools.

Some artists are greatly upset these days.

- There has been serious ethics concern on the copyright of the online images (to train, we need a lot of images + text descriptions).
- AI-generated works started to confuse the judge and won prize. Report from NY Times.
- Anti-AI Movement has been started. See more discussions on Reddit.