Appendix A

Basic facts from linear algebra

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions of linear algebra.

A.1 Linear maps and linear groups

A linear transformation of a linear (vector) space (modeled as \mathbb{R}^n) is defined as a map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- $T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) \ \forall \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- $T(\alpha x) = \alpha T(x) \ \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

If we consider (the ring of) all $n \times n$ matrices over the field \mathbb{R} , its group of units $\mathcal{GL}(n)$ – which consists of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices and is called the general linear group – can be identified with the set of linear maps:

$$T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n; \ x \mapsto T(x) = \mathbf{T}x \mid \mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{GL}(n).$$
 (A.1)

We recall that a set G is a group if it closed with respect to an operation, call it \cdot

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot : G \times G & \longrightarrow & G \\
(g_1, g_2) & \mapsto & g_1 \cdot g_2
\end{array} \tag{A.2}$$

which is associative, has a null element and an inverse:

- 1. $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot g_3 = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot g_3) \ \forall \ g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ (associative)
- 2. $\exists e \in G \mid g \cdot e = g \ \forall g \in G \ \text{(null element)}$
- 3. $\forall g \in G \; \exists \; g^{-1} \in G \; | \; g \cdot g^{-1} = g^{-1} \cdot g = e \; \text{ (inverse)}.$

The set of $n \times n$ non-singular matrices is a group under the usual matrix product. Such a group can also be identified with the *metric* (vector) space \mathbb{R}^{n^2} .

We say that a linear transformation of a space with inner product is *orthogonal* if it preserves such inner product:

$$\langle \mathbf{T}x, \mathbf{T}y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \ \forall \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
 (A.3)

The set of $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices forms the *orthogonal group* O(n). If M is a matrix representative of an orthogonal transformation, expressed relative to an orthonormal reference frame, then it is easy to see that the orthogonal group is characterized as

$$O(n) = \{ M \in \mathcal{GL}(n) \mid MM^T = I \}. \tag{A.4}$$

The determinant of an orthogonal matrix can be ± 1 . The subgroup of O(n) with unit determinant is called the *special orthogonal group* SO(n).

A.2 Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization

A matrix in $\mathcal{GL}(n)$ has n independent rows (columns). A matrix in O(n) has orthonormal rows (columns). The Gram-Schmidt procedure can be viewed as a map between $\mathcal{GL}(n)$ and O(n), for it transforms a nonsingular matrix into an orthonormal one. Call $\mathcal{L}_+(n)$ the subset of $\mathcal{GL}(n)$ consisting of lower triangular matrices with positive elements along the diagonal. Such matrices form a subgroup of $\mathcal{GL}(n)$. Then we have

Theorem A.1. (Gram-Schmidt) $\forall M \in \mathcal{GL}(n) \exists ! L \in \mathcal{L}_{+}(n) \ E \in O(n) \ such \ that$

$$M = LE \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. The proof consists in constructing L and E iteratively from the rows $\mathbf{m}_{i.}$ of M:

Then $E = [\mathbf{e}_1^T \dots \mathbf{e}_n^T]^T$ and the matrix L is obtained as

$$L = \left[egin{array}{cccc} \|\mathbf{v}_1.\| & 0 & \dots & 0 \ \langle \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{e}_1.
angle & \|\mathbf{v}_2.\| & \dots & 0 \ dots & dots & \ddots & dots \ dots & dots & \dots & \|\mathbf{v}_{n.}\| \end{array}
ight]$$

Remark A.1. Gram-Schmidt's procedure has the peculiarity of being causal, in the sense that the k-th column of the transformed matrix depends only upon rows with index $l \leq k$ of the original matrix. The choice of the name E for the orthogonal matrix above is not random. In fact we will view the Kalman filter as a way to perform a Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization on a peculiar Hilbert space, and the outcome E of the procedure is traditionally called the innovation.

A.3 Symmetric matrices

Definition A.1. $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric iff $Q^T = Q$.

Theorem A.2. Q is symmetric then

- 1. Let (v, λ) be eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs. If $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ then $v_i \perp v_j$, i.e. eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.
- 2. $\exists n \text{ orthonormal eigenvectors of } Q, \text{ which form a basis for } \mathbb{R}^n$.
- 3. $Q \ge 0$ iff $\lambda_i \ge 0 \forall i = 1:n$, i.e. Q is positive semi-definite iff all eigenvalues are non-negative.
- 4. if $Q \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ then $\max_{\|x\|_2=1} \langle x, Qx \rangle = \lambda_1$ and $\min_{\|x\|_2=1} \langle x, Qx \rangle = \lambda_n$.

Remark A.2.

- from point (3) of the previous theorem we see that if $V = [v_1 \ v_2 \ \cdots \ v_n]$ is the matrix of all the eigenvectors, and $\Lambda = diag\{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n\}$ is the diagonal matrix of the corresponding eigenvalues, then we can write $Q = V\Lambda V^T$; note that V is orthonormal.
- Proofs of the above claims are easy exercises.

Definition A.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then we define the <u>induced 2-norm</u> of A as an operator between \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m as

$$||A|| \doteq \max_{||x||_2=1} ||Ax||_2^2 = \max_{||x||_2=1} \langle x, A^T A x \rangle.$$

Remark A.3.

- Similarly other induced operator norms on A can be defined starting from different norms on the domain and co-domain spaces on which A operates.
- let A be as above, then A^TA is clearly symmetric and positive semi-definite, so it can be diagonalized by a orthogonal matrix V. The eigenvalues, being non-negative, can be written as σ_i^2 . By ordering the columns of V so that the eigenvalue matrix Λ has decreasing eigenvalues on the diagonal, we see, from point (e) of the previous theorem, that $A^TA = V \operatorname{diag}\{\sigma_1^2 \cdots \sigma_n^2\}V^T$ and $\|A\|_2 = \sigma_1$.

A.4 Structure induced by a linear map

- ullet Let A be an operator from a vector space E to a space F
- Let E have a scalar product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_E : E \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and F have <u>finite dimension</u> and a scalar product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_F : F \times F \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$
- \bullet Let E be decomposed as:

$$E = Nu(A) \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} Nu(A)^{\perp}$$

• Let F be decomposed as $E = Ra(A) \stackrel{\perp}{\oplus} Ra(A)^{\perp}$.

Theorem A.3. Let A, E, F be defined as above; then

- a) $Nu(A)^{\perp} = Ra(A^T)$
- b) $Ra(A)^{\perp} = Nu(A^T)$
- c) $Nu(A^T) = Nu(AA^T)$
- d) $Ra(A)^{\perp} = Ra(AA^T)$.

A.5 The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

The SVD is a useful tool to capture essential features of a linear operator, such as the rank, range space, null space, induced norm etc. and to "generalize" the concept of "eigenvalue- eigenvector" pair.

The computation of the SVD is numerically well-conditioned, so it makes sense to try to solve some typical linear problems as matrix inversions, calculation of rank, best 2-norm approximations, projections and fixed-rank approximations, in terms of the SVD of the operator.

A.5.1 Algebraic derivation

Theorem A.4. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ have rank p. Furthermore suppose, WLOG, that $m \geq n$, then

- $\exists U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ whose columns are orthonormal
- $\exists V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ whose columns are orthonormal
- $\exists \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}, \Sigma = diag\{\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_p\} \ diagonal \ with \ \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_p$

such that $A = U\Sigma V^T$.

Constructive proof

- compute A^TA : it is symmetric and positive semi-definite of dimension $n \times n$. Then order its eigenvalues in decreasing order and call them $\sigma_1^2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_p^2 \ge \cdots \sigma_n^2 \ge 0$. Call the σ_i singular values.
- From an orthonormal set of eigenvectors of A^TA create an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n such that $span\{v_1\cdots v_p\}=Ra(A^T)$ and $span\{v_{p+1}\cdots v_n\}=Nu(A)$. Note that the latter eigenvectors correspond to the zero singular values, since $Nu(A^TA)=Nu(A)$.
- define u_i such that $Av_i = \sigma_i u_i \forall i = 1 : p$, and see that the set $\{u_i\}$ is orthonormal (proof left as exercise).
- Complete the basis $\{u_i\}_{\forall i=1:p}$, which spans Ra(A) (by construction), to all \mathbb{R}^m .

• then
$$A[v_1\cdots v_n]=[u_1\cdots u_m]$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots & 0_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0_m \end{bmatrix}$$
 which we name $A\tilde{V}=\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}$

• hence $A = \tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}\tilde{V}^T$

Then the claim follows by deleting the columns of \tilde{U} and the rows of \tilde{V}^T which multiply the zero singular values.

A.5.2 Geometric interpretation

Theorem A.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} = U\Sigma V^T$, then A maps $B(0,1) \doteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_2 = 1\}$ to an ellipsoid with half-axes $\sigma_i u_i$

Proof:

let x, y be such that Ax = y. $\{v_1 \cdots v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^n . With respect to such basis x has coordinates $[\langle v_1, x \rangle, \langle v_2, x \rangle, \cdots, \langle v_n, x \rangle]$. Idem for $\{u_i\}$. Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i u_i \to Ax = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i u_i v_i^T x = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i u_i \langle v_i, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i u_i = y$. Hence $\sigma_i \langle v_i, x \rangle = y_i$. Now $\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v_i, x \rangle^2 = 1 \forall x \in B(0, 1)$, from which we conclude $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} = 1$, which represents the equation of an ellipsoid with half-axes of length σ_i .

A.5.3 Some properties of the SVD

Rank and Null space

Theorem A.6. Let $A = U\Sigma V^T$ have rank r; then

- $Nu(A) = \operatorname{span}\{v_{r+1} \dots v_n\}$
- $Ra(A^T) = Nu(A)^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}\{v_1 \dots v_r\}$
- $Ra(A) = \operatorname{span}\{u_1 \dots u_r\}$
- $Ra(A)^{\perp} = Nu(A^T) = \operatorname{span}\{u_{r+1} \dots u_n\}$

proof: by construction.

Generalized (Moore-Penrose) Inverse

The problems involving orthogonal projections onto invariant subspaces of A, as Linear Least Squares (LLSE) or Minimum Energy problems, are easily solved using the SVD.

Definition A.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $A = U\Lambda V^T$ where Λ is the diagonal matrix with diagonal elements $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r, 0 \ldots 0)$; then

$$A^{\dagger} = U\Lambda_{(r)}^{-1}V^{T}, \quad \Lambda_{(r)}^{-1} = diag(\lambda_{1}^{-1}, \dots \lambda_{r}^{-1}, 0 \dots 0)$$

Theorem A.7.

- $\bullet AA^{\dagger}A = A$
- $A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$

Least squares solution of a linear systems

Theorem A.8. Consider the problem Ax = b with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ of rank $p \leq min(m, n)$, then the solution \hat{x} that minimizes $||A\hat{x} - b||$ is given by $\hat{x} = A^{\dagger}b$.

Fixed rank approximations

One of the most important properties of the SVD has to deal with fixed-rank approximations of a given operator. Given A as an operator from a space X to a space Y of rank n, we want to find an operator B from the same spaces such that it has rank p < n fixed and $||A - B||_F$ is minimal, where the F indicates the Frobenius norm (in this context it is the sum of the singular values).

If we had the usual 2-norm and we calculate the SVD of $A = U\Sigma V^T$, then by simply setting all the singular values but the first p to zero, we have an operator $B \doteq U\Sigma_{(p)}V^T$, where $\Sigma_{(p)}$ denotes a matrix obtained from Σ by setting to zero the elements on the diagonal after the p^{th} , which has exactly the same two norm of A and satisfies the requirement on the rank.

It is not difficult to see the following result

Theorem A.9. Let A, B be defined as above, then $||A - B||_F = \sigma_{p+1}$. Furthermore such norm is the minimum achievable.

Proof: easy exercise; follows directly from the orthogonal projection theorem and the properties of the SVD given above.

Perturbations

Consider a non-singular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (if A is singular substitute its inverse by the moore-penrose pseudo-inverse). Let δA be a full-rank perturbation. Then

- $|\sigma_k(A + \delta A) \sigma_k(A)| \le \sigma_1(\delta A) \ \forall k = 1: n$
- $\sigma_n(A\delta A) \ge \sigma_n(A)\sigma_n(\delta A)$
- $\sigma_1(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_n(A)}$

Condition number

Consider again the problem Ax = b, and consider a "perturbed" full rank problem $(a + \delta A)x = b + \delta b$. Since Ax = b, then to first order approximation $\delta x = -A^{\dagger}\delta Ax$. Hence $\|\delta x\| \leq \|A^{\dagger}\| \|\delta A\| \|x\|$, from which $\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} = \|A^{\dagger}\| \|A\| \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|} \doteq k(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}$. "k(A)" is called the condition number of A. It easy to see that $k(A) = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_n}$.