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## Methods to Learn

| Matrix Data | Text <br> Data | Set Data | Sequence <br> Data | Time Series |  <br> Network | Images |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification | Decision Tree; <br> Naïve Bayes; <br> Logistic Regression <br> SVM; kNN |  |  | HMM |  | Label <br> Propagation* | Neural <br> Network |
| Clustering | K-means; <br> hierarchical <br> clustering; DBSCAN; <br> Mixture Models; <br> kernel k-means* | PLSA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frequent |  |  | Apriori; |  |  |  |  |
| Pattern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Proximity Definition in Graphs
- Clustering
- Summary


## Graph, Graph, Everywhere



Aspirin



Yeast protein interaction network


## Mhy Gramh Mining?

- Graphs are ubiquitous
- Chemical compounds (Cheminformatics)
- Protein structures, biological pathways/networks (Bioinformactics)
- Program control flow, traffic flow, and workflow analysis
- XML databases, Web, and social network analysis
- Graph is a general model
- Trees, lattices, sequences, and items are degenerated graphs
- Diversity of graphs
- Directed vs. undirected, labeled vs. unlabeled (edges \& vertices), weighted, with angles \& geometry (topological vs. 2-D/3-D)
- Complexity of algorithms: many problems are of high complexity


## Representation of a Graph

- $G=<V, E>$
- $V=\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ : node set
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ : edge set
- Adjacency matrix
- $A=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}, i, j=1, \ldots, N$
- $a_{i j}=1, i f<u_{i}, u_{j}>\in E$
- $a_{i j}=0, i f<u_{i}, u_{j}>\notin E$
- Undirected graph vs. Directed graph
- $A=A^{\mathrm{T}}$ vs. $A \neq A^{\mathrm{T}}$
- Weighted graph
- Use $W$ instead of $A$, where $w_{i j}$ represents the weight of edge $<u_{i}, u_{j}>$


## Example




Adjacency matrix A

## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Personalized PageRank
-Summary


## The History of PageRank

- PageRank was developed by Larry Page (hence the name Page-Rank) and Sergey Brin.
- It is first as part of a research project about a new kind of search engine. That project started in 1995 and led to a functional prototype in 1998.
- Shortly after, Page and Brin founded Google.


## Ranking web pages

-Web pages are not equally "important"

- www.cnn.com vs. a personal webpage
- Inlinks as votes
- The more inlinks, the more important - Are all inlinks equal?
- Higher ranked inlink should play a more important role
- Recursive question!


## Simple recursive formulation

- Each link's vote is proportional to the importance of its source page
- If page $P$ with importance $x$ has $n$ outlinks, each link gets $x / n$ votes
- Page P's own importance is the sum of the votes on its inlinks



## Matrix formulation

- Matrix $\mathbf{M}$ has one row and one column for each web page

| - Suppose page j has n outlinks | y | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - If j -> i , then $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 / \mathrm{n}$ | a | 1 | 0 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| - Else $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ | m | 0 | 1 | 0 |

- $\mathbf{M}$ is a column stochastic matrix
- Columns sum to 1
- Suppose $\mathbf{r}$ is a vector with one entry per web page
- $r_{i}$ is the importance score of page i
- Call it the rank vector
- $|\mathbf{r}|=1$ (i.e., $r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{N}=1$ )


## Eigenvector formulation

-The flow equations can be written

$$
r=M r
$$

- So the rank vector is an eigenvector of the stochastic web matrix
- In fact, its first or principal eigenvector, with corresponding eigenvalue 1


## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=y / 2+a / 2 \\
& a=y / 2+m
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m=a / 2
$$

|  | y | a | m |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| y | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
| a | $1 / 2$ | 0 | 1 |
| m | 0 | $1 / 2$ | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Power Iteration method

-Simple iterative scheme

- Suppose there are N web pages
- Initialize: $\mathbf{r}^{0}=[1 / \mathbf{N}, \ldots ., 1 / \mathrm{N}]^{\mathrm{T}}$
- Iterate: $\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathbf{M r}^{\mathrm{k}}$
- Stop when $\left|\mathbf{r}^{\mathrm{k}+1}-\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{k}}\right|_{1}<\varepsilon$
- $|\mathbf{x}|_{1}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N}\left|x_{i}\right|$ is the $L_{1}$ norm
- Can use any other vector norm e.g., Euclidean


## Power Iteration Example



| y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $5 / 12$ | $3 / 8$ |  | $2 / 5$ |
|  | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 3$ | $11 / 24$ | $\ldots$ | $2 / 5$ |
| $1 / 3$ | $1 / 6$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 6$ |  | $1 / 5$ |  |
| $r_{0}$ | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ | $r_{3}$ | $\ldots$ | $r^{*}$ |  |

## Random Walk Interpretation

- Imagine a random web surfer
- At any time $t$, surfer is on some page P
- At time $\mathrm{t}^{+}$, the surfer follows an outlink from P uniformly at random
- Ends up on some page Q linked from $P$
- Process repeats indefinitely
- Let $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t})$ be a vector whose $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ component is the probability that the surfer is at page $i$ at time $t$
$\cdot \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})$ is a probability distribution on pages


## The stationary distribution

-Where is the surfer at time $t+1$ ?

- Follows a link uniformly at random
- $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathbf{M p}(\mathrm{t})$
- Suppose the random walk reaches a state such that $\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t}+1)=\mathbf{M p}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbf{p}(\mathrm{t})$
- Then $\mathbf{p}(t)$ is called a stationary distribution for the random walk
- Our rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ satisfies $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{M r}$
- So it is a stationary distribution for the random surfer


## Existence and Uniqueness

A central result from the theory of random walks (aka Markov processes):

For graphs that satisfy certain conditions, the stationary distribution is unique and eventually will be reached no matter what the initial probability distribution at time $t$
$=0$.

## Spider traps

- A group of pages is a spider trap if there are no links from within the group to outside the group
- Random surfer gets trapped
- Spider traps violate the conditions needed for the random walk theorem


## Microsoft becomes a spider trap



## Random teleports

- The Google solution for spider traps
- At each time step, the random surfer has two options:
- With probability $\beta$, follow a link at random
- With probability $1-\beta$, jump to some page uniformly at random
- Common values for $\beta$ are in the range 0.8 to 0.9
- Surfer will teleport out of spider trap within a few time steps


## Random teleports ( $\beta=0.8$ )



0.8 \begin{tabular}{|ccc|}
\hline $1 / 2$ \& $1 / 2$ \& 0 <br>
$1 / 2$ \& 0 \& 0 <br>
0 \& $1 / 2$ \& 1

$|\quad+0.2|$

$1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$ <br>
$1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$ <br>
$1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$ \& $1 / 3$
\end{tabular}

-----> : teleport links from "Yahoo"

|  | $7 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | $7 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ | $1 / 15$ |
| m | $1 / 15$ | $7 / 15$ | $13 / 15$ |

## Random teleports ( $\beta=0.8$ )



## Matrix formulation

- Suppose there are N pages
- Consider a page j , with set of outlinks $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{j})$
- We have $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 /|\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{j})|$ when j ->i and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$ otherwise
- The random teleport is equivalent to
- adding a teleport link from $j$ to every other page with probability (1- $\beta$ )/N
- reducing the probability of following each outlink from $1 /|O(j)|$ to $\beta /|O(j)|$
- Equivalent: tax each page a fraction (1- $\beta$ ) of its score and redistribute evenly


## PageRank

- Construct the N -by- N matrix A as follows
- $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\beta \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}+(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}$
- Verify that $\mathbf{A}$ is a stochastic matrix
-The page rank vector $\mathbf{r}$ is the principal eigenvector of this matrix
- satisfying $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{Ar}$
- Equivalently, $\mathbf{r}$ is the stationary distribution of the random walk with teleports


## Dead ends

- Pages with no outlinks are "dead ends" for the random surfer
- Nowhere to go on next step


## Microsoft becomes a dead end



$$
0.8 \begin{array}{|ccc|}
\hline 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 0
\end{array} \quad+0.2 \begin{array}{lll}
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3
\end{array}
$$



## Dealing with dead-ends

## - Teleport

- Follow random teleport links with probability 1.0 from dead-ends
- Adjust matrix accordingly
- Prune and propagate
- Preprocess the graph to eliminate dead-ends
- Might require multiple passes
- Compute page rank on reduced graph
- Approximate values for deadends by propagating values from reduced graph


## Dealing dead end: teleport



## Dealing dead end: reduce graph



## Computing PageRank

- Key step is matrix-vector multiplication
- $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}=A r^{\text {old }}$
- Easy if we have enough main memory to hold A, rold, $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$
- Say N = 1 billion pages
- We need 4 bytes for each entry (say)
- 2 billion entries for vectors, approx 8GB
- Matrix A has $\mathbf{N}^{2}$ entries
- $10^{18}$ is a large number!


## Rearranging the equation

$r=A r$, where
$A_{i j}=\beta M_{i j}+(1-\beta) / N$
$r_{i}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} A_{i j} r_{j}$
$r_{i}=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq N}\left[\beta M_{i j}+(1-\beta) / N\right] r_{j}$
$=\beta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} M_{i j} r_{j}+(1-\beta) / N \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} r_{j}$
$=\beta \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} M_{i j} r_{j}+(1-\beta) / N$, since $|r|=1$
$\mathbf{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+[(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}]_{N}$
where $[\mathrm{x}]_{\mathrm{N}}$ is an N -vector with all entries x

## Sparse matrix formulation

- We can rearrange the page rank equation:
- $\mathbf{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+[(1-\beta) / \mathbf{N}]_{N}$
- $[(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{N}}$ is an N -vector with all entries $(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}$
- $\mathbf{M}$ is a sparse matrix!
- 10 links per node, approx 10 N entries
- So in each iteration, we need to:
- Compute $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}=\beta \mathbf{M r}^{\text {old }}$
- Add a constant value ( $1-\beta$ )/N to each entry in $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$


## Sparse matrix encoding

- Encode sparse matrix using only nonzero entries
- Space proportional roughly to number of links
- say 10 N , or $4 * 10 * 1$ billion $=40 \mathrm{~GB}$
- still won’t fit in memory, but will fit on disk

| source <br> node | degree | destination nodes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 3 | $1,5,7$ |
| 1 | 5 | $17,64,113,117,245$ |
| 2 | 2 | 13,23 |

## Basic Algorithm

- Assume we have enough RAM to fit $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$, plus some working memory
- Store $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ and matrix $\mathbf{M}$ on disk


## Basic Algorithm:

- $\quad$ Initialize: $r^{\text {old }}=[1 / \mathrm{N}]_{N}$
- Iterate:
- Update: Perform a sequential scan of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ to update $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$
- Write out $\mathbf{r}^{\text {new }}$ to disk as $\mathbf{r}^{\text {old }}$ for next iteration
- Every few iterations, compute $\left|\mathrm{r}^{\text {new }-r^{\text {old }}}\right|$ and stop if it is below threshold
- Need to read in both vectors into memory


## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Proximity Definition in Graphs

- Clustering
- Summary


## Personalized PageRank

- Query-dependent Ranking
- For a query webpage $u$, which webpages are most important to u?
- We need a measure $s(u, v)$
- The relative important webpages to different queries would be different


## Calculation of P-PageRank

- Recall PageRank calculation:
- $\mathbf{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+[(1-\beta) / \mathrm{N}]_{\mathrm{N}}$ or
$\cdot \mathrm{r}=\beta \mathbf{M r}+(1-\beta) r_{0}$, where $r_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{c}1 / N \\ 1 / N \\ \ldots \\ 1 / N\end{array}\right)$
- For P-PageRank, $s(u, v)=r(v)$
by replacing $r_{0}$ with $r_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0 \\ \ldots \\ 1 \\ \ldots \\ 0\end{array}\right)$ uth webpage


## Common Neighbors

## - $s(u, v)=|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)|$, where $\Gamma(u)$ denotes the neighbors of $u$



## Jaccard's Coefficient

$\cdot s(u, v)=\frac{|\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)|}{|\Gamma(u) \cup \Gamma(v)|}$


## Adamic/Adar

$$
s(u, v)=\sum_{w \in \Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(v)} \frac{1}{\log |\Gamma(w)|}
$$

- A more connected node will be punished



## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Proximity Definition in Graphs
- Clustering
- Summary


## Clustering Graphs and Network Data

## - Applications

- Bi-partite graphs, e.g., customers and products, authors and conferences
- Web search engines, e.g., click through graphs and Web graphs
- Social networks, friendship/coauthor graphs


Clustering books about politics [Newman, 2006]

## Spectral Clustering

## - Reference: ICDM’09 Tutorial by Chris Ding - Example:

- Clustering supreme court justices according to

Number of times (\%) two Justices voted in agreement

|  | Ste | Bre | Gin | Sou | O'Co $^{\prime}$ O | Ken | Reh | Sca | Tho |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stevens | - | 62 | 66 | 63 | 33 | 36 | 25 | 14 | 15 |
| Breyer | 62 | - | 72 | 71 | 55 | 47 | 43 | 25 | 24 |
| Ginsberg | 66 | 72 | - | 78 | 47 | 49 | 43 | 28 | 26 |
| Souter | 63 | 71 | 78 | - | 55 | 50 | 44 | 31 | 29 |
| O'Connor | 33 | 55 | 47 | 55 | - | 67 | 71 | 54 | 54 |
| Kennedy | 36 | 47 | 49 | 50 | 67 | - | 77 | 58 | 59 |
| Rehnquist | 25 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 71 | 77 | - | 66 | 68 |
| Scalia | 14 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 54 | 58 | 66 | - | 79 |
| Thomas | 15 | 24 | 26 | 29 | 54 | 59 | 68 | 79 | - |

Table 1: From the voting record of Justices 1995 Term - 2004 Term, the number of times two justices voted in agreement (in percentage). (Data source: from July 2, 2005 New York Times. Originally from Legal Affairs; Harvard Law Review)

## Example: Continue



- Three groups in the Supreme Court:
- Left leaning group, center-right group, right leaning group.


## Spectral Graph Partition

- Min-Cut
- Minimize the \# of cut of edges



## Objective Function

## 2-way Spectral Graph Partitioning

Partition membership indicator: $\quad q_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}1 & \text { if } i \in A \\ -1 & \text { if } i \in B\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\text { CutSize }=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j} w_{i j}\left[q_{i}-q_{j}\right]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j} w_{i j}\left[q_{i}^{2}+q_{j}^{2}-2 q_{i} q_{j}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j} q_{i}\left[d_{i} \delta_{i j}-w_{i j}\right] q_{j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} q^{T}(D-W) q
\end{aligned}
$$

Relax indicators $q_{\mathrm{i}}$ from discrete values to continuous values, the solution for $\min J(q)$ is given by the eigenvectors of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D-W) q=\lambda q \tag{Fiedler,1973,1975}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Algorithm

## - Step 1:

- Calculate Laplacian matrix: $L=D-W$
- Step 2:
- Calculate the second eigvector q
- Step 3:
- Bisect q (e.g., 0) to get two clusters

$$
(D-W) q=\lambda q
$$

(Fiedler, 1973, 1975)
(Pothen, Simon, Liou, 1990)

## *Minimum Cut with Constraints

minimize cutsize without explicit size constraints
But where to cut?


Need to balance sizes

## 

- Ratio Cut (Hangen \& Kahng, 1992)

$$
s(A, B)=\sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j \in B} w_{i j}
$$

$$
J_{\text {Rcut }}(A, B)=\frac{s(A, B)}{|A|}+\frac{s(A, B)}{|B|}
$$

- Normalized Cut (Shi \& Malik, 2000)

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\text {Nout }}(A, B) & =\frac{s(A, B)}{d_{A}}+\frac{s(A, B)}{d_{B}} \\
& =\frac{s(A, B)}{s(A, A)+s(A, B)}+\frac{s(A, B)}{s(B, B)+s(A, B)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Min-Max-Cut (Ding et al, 2001)

$$
J_{M M C}(A, B)=\frac{s(A, B)}{s(A, A)}+\frac{s(A, B)}{s(B, B)}
$$

## Other References

- A Tutorial on Spectral Clustering by U. Luxburg http://www.kyb.mpg.de/fileadmin/user u pload/files/publications/attachments/Lux burg07 tutorial 4488\%5B0\%5D.pdf


## Mining Graph/Network Data

- Introduction to Graph/Network Data
- PageRank
- Proximity Definition in Graphs
- Clustering
- Summary


## Summary

- Ranking on Graph / Network
- PageRank
- Proxmities
- Personalized PageRank, common neighbors, Jaccard's coefficient, Adamic/Adar
- Clustering
- Spectral clustering

